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Adoption and implementation clause: The provisions of this document that pertain directly to the composition and operation of the College of Arts and Sciences Promotion and Tenure Committee will go into effect at the beginning of the Fall 2024 semester, following a majority approval vote of the college's full time faculty members. Upon approval, the constituent departments, divisions, and units of the College of Arts and Sciences that have faculty in tenure-track and professional track promotable positions must revise their unit's promotion and tenure documents, committee compositions, and procedures to conform with those outlined herein and in the University Promotion and Tenure Document; the constituent units' procedures must align with these documents no later than March 15, 2025, with the revised procedures implemented for the 2025-2026 Promotion and/or Tenure cycle.

Sunset clause: This document will be enforced only until Aug. 15, 2029, or until the College of Arts \& Sciences Promotion and Tenure Committee, in consultation with the College of Arts \& Sciences Senate, creates a new or revised document approved by the Arts \& Sciences Faculty and the Dean of the College of Arts \& Sciences.

## Scope

This document records the College of Arts \& Sciences policies and procedures governing academic tenure and promotion in academic rank. These policies and procedures are in accordance with the Bylaws and Policies of the Board of Trustees of State Institutions of Higher Learning (Sections 402, 403, and 404) and the Mississippi State University Promotion and Tenure guidelines.

This document applies to faculty members in tenure-track positions and professional-track positions hereto referred to as general faculty. The appointment and termination of faculty members is governed by IHL Board Policy, and their promotion is governed by IHL Policy, university, college, school, and department policies. Professional-track faculty members are eligible for promotion, but not tenure. Professional-track faculty may apply for open tenure-track positions or vice versa.

## Overview

The University Promotion and Tenure document requires the College of Arts \& Sciences to have a college promotion and tenure committee and a promotion and tenure document. Consistent with the 2005 version of the college Promotion and Tenure document, this document was drafted by the College of Arts \& Sciences Faculty Senate. After the adoption of a new document, and consistent with the 2023 University Faculty Handbook, the authority to draft future versions of the Promotion and Tenure document will move to the college Promotion and Tenure committee, in consultation with the College of Arts \& Sciences Faculty Senate and the Dean of the College of Arts \& Sciences.

All suggested changes in the Arts \& Sciences Promotion and Tenure document will be made to the Chair of the College Promotion and Tenure committee. The committee chair will distribute copies of the suggested change(s) to all committee members, and the committee will prepare its own recommendation(s). The committee's report on the recommended changes will be posted on the college website for Arts \& Sciences faculty comment for four weeks before a vote by the general faculty on the recommendations will be held.

If changes are approved by the college Promotion and Tenure committee, the new promotion and tenure document must be approved by the Dean of the College before they take effect. A signed copy of the new document will be made available by the dean to all faculty in the college.

For all future changes to Arts \& Sciences promotion and tenure document that are approved between May 16 and October 1 of a given year (calendar year 1), those changes will go into effect May 16 of the following year (calendar year 2 ). If the changes are approved after October 1 (calendar year 1 ) and before May 16 of
the subsequent year (calendar year 2), then changes will go into effect on May 16 of the following year (calendar year 3). In both cases, all college and department documents must be revised as necessary no later than the effective date of the revised Arts \& Sciences promotion and tenure document.

## Academic Rank

A faculty member of professorial rank must have a professional or terminal degree appropriate to the discipline (or the equivalent in training and experience), a strong commitment to higher education and to the mission of Mississippi State University, and a willingness to assume the responsibilities and obligations appropriate to a university faculty member.

Faculty-ranks at Mississippi State University include tenure-track positions and professional-track positions.

Academic ranks at Mississippi State University include:

## Tenure-Track Positions

Assistant Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member with professional or terminal degree appropriate to the discipline (or the equivalent in training and experience), a strong commitment to higher education and to the mission of Mississippi State University, and a willingness to assume the responsibilities and obligations appropriate to a university faculty member. The individual must also have the potential to be successful in the areas teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service.

Associate Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant professor, who has consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at a satisfactory level in teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, and who excels in at least one of these. Based upon the criteria established in the departmental promotion and tenure documents, an associate professor is developing a national and/or international reputation and is showing potential for sustained contributions to the university and to their profession, field, or discipline.

Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate professor, who has consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at a satisfactory level in teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, and who excels in at least two of these areas. Based upon the criteria established in the departmental promotion and tenure documents, a professor is expected to have a national and/or international reputation within their profession, area of expertise, or discipline.

## Professional-Track Positions

## Teaching Professor Ranks:

Assistant Teaching Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in a discipline appropriate for the position, who possesses the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Associate Teaching Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant teaching professor, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the
unit, university, and/or profession.
Teaching Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate teaching professor, has consistently demonstrated excellence in instructional activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

## Professor of Practice Ranks:

Assistant Professor of Practice (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in a discipline appropriate for the position or its equivalent in professional achievement, who possesses the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Associate Professor of Practice (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant professor of practice, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Professor of Practice (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for associate professor of practice, has consistently demonstrated excellence in instructional activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service and/or other scholarly activities of the unit, university, and/or profession.

## Clinical/Extension/Research Professor Ranks:

* Some Extension and Research positions are tenure-track. Faculty holding a tenure-track Extension or Research position should refer to the tenure-track guidance above.

Assistant Clinical/Extension/Research Professor (Rank 1): A faculty member with a terminal degree in the discipline, who possesses the potential for successful performance in clinical/extension/research activities or creative achievement in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

Associate Clinical/Extension/Research Professor (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for assistant clinical/extension/research professor, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in clinical/extension/research activities or creative achievement, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Clinical//Extension/Research Professor (Rank 3): A faculty member who has consistently demonstrated excellence in clinical/extension/research activities or creative endeavors, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

## Instructor Ranks:

Instructor I (Rank 1): A faculty member with a minimum of a Master's degree or higher, who possesses teaching credentials appropriate for the position and the potential for successful performance in instructional activities in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Instructor II (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Instructor I, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in instructional activities, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Instructor III (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Instructor II, has consistently demonstrated excellence, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

## Clinical/Extension Instructor Ranks:

Clinical/Extension Instructor I (Rank 1): A faculty member with a minimum of a Master's degree or higher as appropriate to the profession, in a discipline appropriate for the position, who possesses the potential for successful performance in clinical/extension activities or creative achievement in a university environment, and who should contribute to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension Instructor II (Rank 2): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Clinical/Extension Instructor I, has demonstrated an ability to perform at a level of excellence appropriate for the rank in clinical/extension activities, and who significantly contributes to the service of the unit, university, and/or profession.

Clinical/Extension Instructor III (Rank 3): A faculty member who has met the criteria for Clinical/Extension Instructor II, has demonstrated excellence in clinical/extension activities, and who is consistently contributing at a high level to the service of the unit, university and/or profession.

## POLICIES AND PROCEDURES SPECIFIC TO TENURE-TRACK FACULTY

Promotion: Promotion is never granted routinely for simple satisfactory performance or for length of service but reflects progressively higher professional competence and accomplishment in the following core areas: teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service. Promotion from Assistant professor to Associate professor, or from Associate professor to Professor, will normally only be considered after a faculty member has served at least five years in rank so that sustained productivity at MSU can be demonstrated. Applications for promotion prior to that time will be regarded as early action and considered only for exceptionally strong and well documented cases. Rank should reflect comparable stature with others in similar disciplines in other university settings.

Professional achievement at another academic institution may be considered for promotion.

Tenure: The granting of tenure is a faculty-driven process and is the academic community's chief guarantee of academic freedom for the faculty member to perform their academic duties without undue or inappropriate external pressures.

Definition: Tenure is defined by IHL Board Policy 403.01 as:
"Continuing employment that may be granted to a faculty member after a probationary period upon nomination by the Institutional Executive Officer for election by the Board."

IHL Board Policy 403.0104 further provides that a tenured faculty member is protected from dismissal except under the following extraordinary circumstances:
a. Financial exigencies as declared by the Board;
b. Termination or reduction of programs, academic or administrative units as approved by the Board;
c. Malfeasance, inefficiency or contumacious conduct; or
d. For legitimate and justifiable cause.

According to IHL Board Policy 403.01, tenure is granted in a department unless otherwise designated by
the IHL Board.
Attainment of tenure at Mississippi State University is by no means automatic, based on years of service, but is the result of a thorough evaluation of a faculty member's performance in the following core areas: teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service.

Tenure is granted with the university's expectation that the faculty member will continue to perform at or above the minimum standards set by the department or school, college and university.

The proportions of these activities may vary by discipline. Excellence in at least one area and satisfactory performance in the other two are needed to qualify a faculty member for tenure, but a department may require more rigorous standards. Along with the core areas, a faculty member also needs to be certified satisfactory by the president of the university in the following four areas:

- Professional training and experience;
- Effectiveness, accuracy, and integrity in communications;
- Effectiveness in interpersonal relationships, including collegiality, professional ethics, cooperativeness, resourcefulness, and responsibility;
- The absence of malfeasance, inefficiency and contumacious conduct in the faculty member's performance of his/her faculty position at the university.

Performance will be assumed satisfactory in each of these four areas unless clear and consistent evidence has been documented to the contrary.

Collegiality. For purposes of this document, collegiality is defined as the sharing of authority and responsibility among colleagues while avoiding patterns of behavior that are of such a disruptive nature as to hinder members of academic units from fulfilling their core duties or that hinder academic units from their academic mission. Inherent in that definition is the understanding that academic units and their members undertake the core duties of teaching, research, and service that are associated with the university's mission and seek to preserve the well-being of the institution.

Further, collegiality:

- will not be associated with ensuring homogeneity and hence with practices that exclude persons on the basis of their difference from a perceived norm;
- will not threaten academic freedom;
- will not be confused with the expectation that a faculty member display "enthusiasm" or "dedication," evince "a constructive attitude" that will "foster harmony," or display an excessive deference to administrative or faculty decisions where these may require reasoned discussion;
- will not be confused with participation in social gatherings outside of the normal scope of the faculty member's roles related to research, teaching, and service; and
- will not necessarily conflict with criticism and opposition.

Eligibility: Tenure may hereafter be granted only to Associate professors, Professors, and simultaneously to Assistant professors upon promotion to Associate professor. Faculty members of all professorial ranks in specifically designated tenure-track positions may work toward tenure. An employee cannot be promoted into a professional position unless specified in the original offer letter. Professional-track faculty positions
cannot be converted to tenure-track positions (IHL section 404.01). Graduate assistants and adjuncts, research assistants and associates, lecturers, instructors, visiting or adjunct professors, and all other employees are non-tenure-track. An instructor, even if a full-time employee in an academic department, may not be automatically promoted to assistant professor upon earning a terminal degree.

Probationary Period: A tenure-track faculty member must apply for and be granted tenure by the president during the sixth full contract year of employment in a tenure-track position. Failure to earn tenure after the sixth full contract year will result in a terminal contract in the seventh full contract year. The probationary period for tenure track faculty begins at the start of the faculty member's first full contract year. A full contract year is defined as one that starts on or prior to August 16 for 9-month employees and on or prior to July 1 for 12 -month employees and continues until the next contract period. If the initial contract is for a partial year, e.g., starts after August 16 for a 9 -month employee and after July 1 for a 12-month employee, that time is not included in the probationary period.

Up to five years of professorial experience at other universities may be counted in this probationary period, as determined and agreed upon by the department promotion and tenure committee, the department head or director, the dean, and the faculty member in the letter of offer at the time of initial appointment.

For clearly stated personal reasons (e.g., emergencies related to health, activation of military service, pregnancy, adoption, childcare, care of parents), a tenure-track faculty member may request an extension of up to two years from the first five years of this probationary period for an approved leave of absence or a modified assignment. Specific aspects of such an extension must be established by the department head or director, the dean, the provost, and the faculty member. Such an agreement must be in writing. The department promotion and tenure committee will be notified in writing of the extensions and the revised probationary period.

IHL Board Policy 403.0101 allows a faculty member or an administrative employee who held faculty rank at the level of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor and tenure at another institution to be awarded tenure at the time of initial appointment if approved by the President.

For tenure-track faculty members with a shortened probationary period as specified in an offer letter or an approved extended probationary period, the "third year review" should be held at the midpoint of the individual's probationary period.

## Relationship Between Promotion and Tenure

Tenure-track faculty members who have met the requirements for promotion, but who have not fulfilled the probationary period for tenure, may be promoted without tenure.

Tenure-track faculty members who are granted tenure as assistant professors automatically meet the criteria for promotion to Associate Professor.

## Performance Standards and Evaluation of Professional Activities

Every faculty member is expected to meet high standards of professional competence and integrity and to further the goals of their department or unit. In every case, a faculty member's performance in the following criteria will be judged by all parties to promotion or tenure decisions:

1. Professional training and experience.
2. Effectiveness of teaching. Criteria for assessing teaching include regular classroom and laboratory instruction; supervision of field work, internships, performances, and fellowships; direction of theses and dissertations; development of educational materials including books and web sites, including materials developed with educational grants; conduct of other academic programs that confer university credit; presentation of non-credit and off- campus public lectures and demonstration; and other teaching activities that could be defined by the academic units.

Excellence in teaching includes the ability to impart the knowledge, methods, and standards of the discipline, the ability to communicate effectively with students by counseling, advising, or motivating them; the ability to direct students in their own research; and the ability to evaluate student work accurately and fairly according to prevailing academic standards of the discipline. Excellence in teaching may be documented by peer reviews, student awards, student evaluations, student successes, faculty teaching awards, recognition of teaching excellence, sample course materials, graded student work, recordings of teaching sessions, graduate student theses and dissertations, and any other documentary materials that tend to demonstrate teaching effectiveness on the university campus or at the national or international level.
3. Effectiveness, accuracy, and integrity in communications. The IHL Board endorses the American Association of University Professors' (AAUP) Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure, which states in part: "When they speak or write as citizens, they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As scholars and educational officers, they should remember that the public may judge their profession and their institution by their utterances. Hence, they should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the institution."
4. Effectiveness in interpersonal relationships, including collegiality, professional ethics, cooperativeness, resourcefulness, and responsibility.
5. The absence of malfeasance, inefficiency and contumacious conduct in the faculty member's performance of his/her faculty position at the university.
6. Professional Growth, such as research, publications, and creative activities. Criteria for assessing research and/or creative achievement activities may include systematic, original investigation directed toward the enlargement or validation of human knowledge, the solution of contemporary problems, or the exploration of creative forms that bring greater meaning to life. Excellence in research or creative achievement must be established by critical peer evaluation, using standards prevailing in the discipline. Excellence may be documented by books, articles, or reviews published by commercial or university presses or in refereed journals of international, national, or regional prestige; research grants leading to high quality research; presentation of papers before professional groups; invited participation in scholarly conferences; editorial work for professional journals or publishers; or artistic or humanistic performances, presentations, or shows. Evidence of substantive progress on long-term projects that meet the criteria above may be considered as specified by the academic units.
7. Service and other non-teaching activities, which reflect favorably upon the institution. Criteria for assessing service may include activities which enhance the scholarly life of the university or the discipline, improve the quality of life or society, or promote the general welfare of the institution, the community, the state, or the nation. Thus it includes outreach and extension of academic knowledge to the public, participation on departmental, college, or university committees, or on regional, national, or
international scholarly committees, boards, or review panels, or on public boards as a representative of the scholarly community. Membership or participation in such bodies may constitute satisfactory service, but excellence requires leadership or initiative leading to substantial improvements or progress.

In evaluating a faculty member being considered for tenure and/or promotion, the appropriate faculty committees and academic administrators will give adequate consideration to the faculty member's professional performance as a function of his/her relative academic workload assignments within the seven categories required by the IHL Board. Adequate consideration of a tenure case consists of a conscientious review, which seeks out and considers all available evidence bearing on the relevant performance of the faculty member, and assumes that the various academic units follow their approved procedural guidelines during the tenure and promotion review process. Such consideration should be based upon adequate deliberation over the evidence in light of relevant standards and exclusive of improper standards. An improper standard is any criterion not related to the professional performance of the faculty member. The evaluation of a tenure case should constitute a bona fide exercise of professional academic judgment.

## Annual Faculty Evaluation and Review

At the time of initial appointment, each faculty member will be informed in writing by the department head or unit administrator whether the appointment is tenure-track or professional-track and will be given a copy of this promotion and tenure policy, as well as any department promotion and tenure policies. The new faculty member will agree by signature to the understood and agreed upon terms of employment.

During the probationary period, the department head will counsel with each tenure-track faculty member annually about progress toward promotion and tenure. This annual evaluation will be in writing and will include at least: 1) a written review of the previous year's progress; 2) the faculty member's objectives, responsibilities, and expectations for the coming year; and 3) the department head's or director's assessment of progress toward tenure. Committees are encouraged to provide input into annual evaluations toward progress toward promotion and tenure or into a pre-tenure review.

The evaluation criteria must be consistent with the promotion and tenure criteria of the department, the school or college, and the university. If the department head and the faculty member cannot agree on any part of the evaluation, the matter will be referred to the dean.

The annual evaluation, signed by both parties, will be sent to the dean. A copy will be placed in the faculty member's personnel file. The faculty member has the right to attach a dissenting statement to all copies of this evaluation.

No record in personnel files relating to promotion or tenure is to be added, changed, or withdrawn without the knowledge of the faculty member and the unit administrator. Personnel files are confidential and are available only to the faculty member, department head, dean, provost, and president.
Appropriate administrators will make all pertinent information available to elected promotion and tenure committees and administrators when the faculty member is a candidate for promotion and tenure. If the material from a personnel file (or other material that is not in the candidate's promotion or tenure application) is provided to a committee or administrator, then the candidate will be provided a copy of the material and an opportunity to submit his/her written comments regarding the material before the material is considered by the committee or administrator. Otherwise, no additions will be made.

## Third-year Review

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college promotion and tenure committee will assist departments in developing procedures for a third-year review of all tenure-track
assistant professors.
The purpose of the third-year review is to provide non-tenured faculty with a critical analysis of their progress towards tenure by the department's promotion and tenure committee. Based on the review the non-tenured faculty member should understand the relationship of the candidate's work to date as it applies to the standards required for promotion and tenure in the sixth year.

Third-year reviews will be sent to the Dean of Arts \& Sciences as part of the annual review process for all assistant professors sometime between March 1 of their third year and November 30 of their fourth year.

In establishing a third-year review process as part of a department's promotion and tenure document, departments should establish procedures to achieve these objectives:
A. The candidate should receive advice and input from faculty of higher rank.
B. Each candidate will present to the departmental committee the appropriate documentation that follows the department's guidelines for third-year review.
C. A vote should not be taken as part of the third-year review because the candidate's current status is not the issue before the committee.
D. The committee will provide a specific analysis on the candidate's service, teaching, and research, and make suggestions that will assist the candidate in reaching the department's standards for promotion and tenure.
E. A copy of the third-year review letter received from the department promotion and tenure committee must be included in the tenure and promotion application.

## External Peer Reviews

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college promotion and tenure committee will assist departments in developing criteria for external peer reviews, including the identification of comparable departments at other colleges or universities.

Departments should not consider external peer reviews as a process whereby the reviewers cast votes on promotion and tenure. Instead, the external reviews should serve as a vehicle to help determine the extent to which a candidate has established a national reputation in a field of expertise. The onus lies with the candidate and the departmental committee to establish the credibility of the peer reviewers. Further discussion of the peer review process is found below in the Departmental Promotion and Tenure Committee section.

## POLICIES AND PROCEDURES SPECIFIC TO PROFESSIONAL TRACK FACULTY

## Annual Faculty Evaluation and Review

At the time of initial appointment, each faculty member will be informed in writing by the department head or unit administrator whether the appointment is tenure-track or professional-track and referred to the Promotion Procedures section of the Faculty Handbook (Section V) as well as college and department promotion policies (e.g. appropriate websites with online versions of these documents). The new faculty member will agree by signature to the understood and agreed upon terms of employment.
An annual performance review, based on the previous year's goals and objectives and consistent with AOP 13.24 (Annual Faculty Review Process), will be conducted by the department head/unit administrator or appropriate officer for each professional-track faculty member in the department. This annual evaluation will be in writing and will include at least: (1) a written review of the previous year's progress; (2) the faculty
member's objectives, responsibilities, and expectations for the coming year; and (3) the department head's or director's assessment of progress toward promotion. The evaluation criteria must be consistent with the promotion criteria of the department, the school or college, and the university. If the department head or director and the faculty member cannot agree on any part of the evaluation, the matter will be referred to the dean.

The annual evaluation, signed by both parties, will be sent to the dean. A copy will be placed in the faculty member's personnel file. The faculty member has the right to attach a dissenting statement to all copies of this evaluation.

The department head/unit administrator will maintain a personnel file for each faculty member. No record in the file is to be added, changed, or withdrawn without the knowledge of both parties. The responsible administrative officer will make all pertinent information available to the appropriate individuals when the faculty member is a candidate for promotion, or when the information is needed in an appeals or grievance case.

## Promotion of Professional Track Faculty

Promotion is never granted simply for satisfactory performance or for length of service but reflects progressively higher professional competence and accomplishment. Promotion from one level to the next will normally only be considered after a professional-track faculty member has served at least five years in rank so that sustained productivity at Mississippi State University can be demonstrated. Applications for promotion prior to that time will be regarded as early action and considered only for exceptionally strong and well documented cases. Rank should reflect comparable stature with others in similar disciplines in other university settings. Professional achievement at another academic institution may be considered for promotion.

Every faculty member is expected to meet high standards of professional competence and integrity and to further the goals of their department or unit. In every case, the performance of professional-track faculty members will be judged by all parties involved in promotion decisions on the basis of written promotion policies, and criteria specified therein. Those documents will be developed by the faculty and will apply to the faculty in specific units which may be departments or divisions.

All criteria should be based on the application of the highest professional standards and are to be in harmony with the following IHL Board criteria as defined above:

1. Professional training and experience;
2. Effectiveness of teaching;
3. Effectiveness, accuracy, and integrity in communications;
4. Effectiveness in interpersonal relationships, including collegiality, professional ethics, cooperativeness, resourcefulness, and responsibility;
5. The absence of malfeasance, inefficiency and contumacious conduct in the faculty member's performance of his/her faculty position at the university;
6. Professional growth, such as research, publications, and creative activities; and
7. Service and other non-teaching activities, which reflect favorable upon the institution.

In evaluating a professional-track faculty member being considered for promotion, the appropriate faculty committees and academic administrators will give adequate consideration to the faculty member's professional performance as a function of their relative academic workload assignments within the seven categories required by the IHL Board as stated in the faculty member's offer letter. Adequate consideration for promotion consists of a conscientious review, which seeks out and considers all available evidence bearing on the relevant performance of the faculty member, and assumes that the various academic units follow their approved procedural guidelines during the promotion review process. Such consideration should be based upon
adequate deliberation over the evidence in light of relevant standards and exclusive of improper standards (i.e. any criterion not related to the professional performance of the faculty member). The evaluation of a promotion case should constitute a bona fide exercise of professional academic judgement.

Most decisions on specific promotion policies and procedures are left to the discretion of faculty in individual departments, with the understanding that the departmental policies and procedures must agree with those outlined in the University Faculty Handbook and the College of Arts \& Sciences Promotion Policies and Procedures Operating Policy.

Departmental guidelines can be more rigorous than the college or university guidelines, but not less rigorous.

## POLICIES AND PROCEDURES SPECIFIC TO INSTRUCTORS

## Criteria for Earning Promotion

As promotion is never granted simply for satisfactory performance or for length of service, the level of achievement and the indication of continued accomplishments in their assigned areas determine whether an Instructor is granted promotion. Ordinarily, in the College of Arts \& Sciences, the criterion for promotion for Instructors is demonstrated excellence in teaching. Further, Instructors must also typically demonstrate satisfactory performance in service at the unit, university, and/or discipline level for the Instructor II level and excellence in service at the unit, university, and/or discipline level for the Instructor III level. Benchmarks for satisfactory or excellent engagement in service for an Instructor will likely be evaluated differently than satisfactory or excellent involvement in service for tenure/tenure-track faculty, clinical faculty, faculty of practice, or teaching faculty. Expectations for service specific to the Instructor's role should be clearly detailed in both a department's Instructor promotion document and an Instructor's offer letter. Instructors may pursue opportunities in research and/or service outside of teaching-related duties, but engagement in these areas is not required or expected for promotion unless enumerated in the original or updated offer letter.

For promotion to Instructor II, the applicant must have consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at an excellent level in teaching or instructional activities or primary assigned area based on criteria established in the departmental promotion documents. The area of excellence should be consistent with the faculty member's assigned duties as stated in the original or updated offer letter and/or as outlined in a department's promotion document. Given it is considered an integral part of teaching, Instructors are also expected to contribute to appropriate service responsibilities at a satisfactory level for promotion to Instructor II and at an excellent level for promotion to Instructor III. Instructors may be expected to perform other service as detailed in their original or updated offer letter and/or departmental promotion document.

Evidence of excellence in teaching or instructional activities can include, but is not limited to, student evaluations, departmental evaluations, and participation in pedagogical development and promotion (see below). Instructors applying for promotion are expected to provide the department and college committees and the department head and dean with additional information to support the evaluation of teaching performance and service activities. Research, creative activities, other scholarly activities, or non-teaching related service responsibilities are not expectations of any Instructor rank (I, II, or III) and are not required for promotion unless specified in the original or updated offer letter and/or departmental promotion document. However, research, creative activities, other scholarly activities, or additional service responsibilities that allow the faculty member to remain active in their discipline or that contributes to their excellence in instruction or service may be included in the evaluation.

Only a candidate who is in at least their 6th year as an instructor and has a minimum of three years in their current position will be allowed to apply for promotion. If an instructor who meets these conditions has prior years of service as an MSU instructor (separated from their current position by a break in time), those years will be counted toward promotion. If an instructor who meets these conditions also has previous years in the rank
of instructor at other universities, up to three years of this prior service may be counted toward promotion, as determined and agreed upon by the department promotion and tenure committee, the department head or director, the dean, and the faculty member in the letter of offer at the time of initial appointment.

The parties involved in evaluating an Instructor's application for promotion include the following: (a) Department Head, (b) Departmental Promotion and Tenure Committee, (c) College Promotion and Tenure Committee, (d) Dean of Arts \& Sciences, (e) Provost and Executive Vice President, and (f) President.

The cases of Instructors eligible for promotion will be adjudicated by the existing College of Arts and Sciences Promotion and Tenure Committee, which consists of 15 tenured departmental representatives and six representatives at either level two or level three from the non-tenure track ranks in the college. Departmental committees may be defined and constituted in accordance with the existing departmental promotion and tenure guidelines.

## Evaluation of Excellent Teaching

Excellence in teaching includes the ability to impart the knowledge, methods, and standards of the discipline, the ability to communicate effectively with students by counseling, advising, or motivating them, the ability to direct students in their own research, and the ability to evaluate student work accurately and fairly according to prevailing academic standards of the discipline. This definition applies equally to teaching-focused and tenuretrack faculty. Professional-track faculty may have higher teaching loads and fewer service commitments but are held to the same standard of "excellence in teaching" as tenure/tenure-track faculty.

Teaching includes classroom and laboratory instruction, including in-person and online modalities; development of educational materials including books and websites; supervision of field work; presentation of public lectures and outreach; and other teaching-related activities relevant to a specific field or discipline. Excellence in teaching may be documented by peer reviews, student awards, student evaluations, student successes, faculty teaching awards, recognition of teaching excellence, sample course materials, graded student work, recordings of teaching sessions, evidence of course development and improvements, professional development, teaching publications, and any other documentary materials that tend to demonstrate teaching effectiveness on the university campus or at the national or international level. Each discipline and even areas of expertise within disciplines will differ in their concepts of what constitutes excellence and satisfactory performance in teaching. A department's promotion and tenure document should explain these concepts to the college and university community.

## Evaluation of Satisfactory or Excellent Service

For the Instructor ranks, service involvement should be evaluated based on activities that are particularly relevant to teaching or instructional activities, including activities that enhance the scholarly life of the university or promote the general welfare of the institution or community. Thus, service may include participation on departmental, college, or university committees; participation within community or regional scholarly communities; participation in activities that disseminate academic knowledge to the public; or participation in other service-related activities relevant to the Instructor rank within a specific field or discipline.

Significant contributions to service within the unit will constitute satisfactory involvement in service for Instructors; contributions should be commensurate with departmental expectations. Excellence in service for Instructors requires consistent and high-quality contributions to service within the unit, university, and/or discipline; leadership or initiative leading to substantial improvements or progress is not required for those seeking promotion to Instructor III. Excellent contributions to service may include participating on relevant committees or disciplinary organizations, and or mentoring of teaching assistants, lecturers, or other members of the Department at a high level. Excellence in service may also be demonstrated by successful performance in
leadership roles that may include (but are not limited to) chairing a committee, overseeing new program development, and/or serving in significant administrative roles.

Specific guidelines for evaluating significant and excellent service involvement are both department and rank specific. A department's promotion and tenure document should explain what constitutes excellent and satisfactory service within their discipline. Furthermore, a department's promotion and tenure document and an Instructor's offer letter should clearly outline service expectations required for promotion. In general, what constitutes satisfactory or excellent service for an Instructor is likely to differ from evaluations of service for other roles on campus (e.g., tenure/tenure-track faculty, clinical/teaching/professor of practice faculty).

It should be noted that Instructors are not typically graduate faculty. Although a department may vote to give an Instructor graduate faculty status given appropriate qualifications, service on theses or dissertation committees or teaching or service activities concerning graduate students or graduate programs should not be considered a requirement for promotion to either Instructor II or Instructor III. However, if an Instructor does contribute to such teaching or service activities, they may include that information for consideration in their promotion application materials.

## POLICIES AND PROCEDURES SPECIFIC TO CLINICAL PROFESSORS, TEACHING PROFESSORS, AND PROFESSORS OF PRACTICE

## Criteria for Earning Promotion

As promotion is never granted simply for satisfactory performance or for length of service, the level of achievement and the indication of continued accomplishments in their assigned areas determine whether a clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice member is granted promotion. Ordinarily, in the College of Arts \& Sciences, the criterion for promotion for clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice is demonstrated excellence in teaching, except in cases where the faculty member's primary assigned responsibilities are not primarily instructional. These faculty must also typically demonstrate satisfactory or excellent performance in service for the associate level and excellence in service for the full level. Clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice may pursue opportunities in research and/or service outside of regularly assigned duties, but engagement in these areas is not required or expected unless enumerated in the original or updated offer letter.

For promotion to Associate Clinical Professor / Associate Teaching Professor / Associate Professor of Practice, the applicant must have consistently demonstrated an ability to perform at a high level in teaching or instructional achievements within their assigned duties. The area of excellence should be consistent with the faculty member's assigned duties as stated in their original or updated offer letter. Based upon criteria established in the departmental promotion documents, an Associate Clinical Professor / Associate Teaching Professor / Associate Professor of Practice is developing a reputation beyond the department but within the college and university for excellence in teaching and/or in their area of assignment and for significant and satisfactory or excellent performance in service. A Full Clinical Professor/ Full Teaching Professor/Full Professor of Practice must continue to exhibit excellence in teaching and/or their areas of assignment and must also exhibit excellence in service.

For clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice, the expectation is to consistently demonstrate an ability to perform at an excellent level in teaching and/or primary assigned area. Based on criteria established in the departmental promotion documents, a Clinical Professor / Teaching Professor / Professor of Practice must have an established reputation as an excellent teacher within their profession. This can include, but is not limited to, student evaluations, departmental evaluations, and participation in pedagogical development and promotion (see below). Given it is considered an integral part of teaching, clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice are also expected to contribute to service responsibilities at a satisfactory or excellent level for promotion to the associate rank and at an excellent level for promotion to the full rank. Clinical faculty,
teaching faculty, and faculty of practice applying for promotion are expected to provide the department and college committees and the department head and dean with additional information to support the evaluation of teaching performance and service activities. Research is not an expectation for promotion to either associate or full rank for clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice unless specified in the original or updated offer letter. However, research or other scholarly activities that allow the faculty member to remain active in their discipline or that contributes to their excellence in instruction or service may be included in the evaluation. The rank will reflect comparable stature and achievement with peers at other universities. Hence, policies aimed at establishing standards of performance necessary for the attainment of promotion are to be interpreted not only in view of accomplishments of a faculty member at MSU but also in view of current accomplishments of those in the academic community at large.

The parties involved in evaluating a clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice member's application for promotion include the following: (a) Department Head, (b) Departmental Promotion and Tenure Committee, (c) College Promotion and Tenure Committee, (d) Dean of Arts \& Sciences, (e) Provost and Executive Vice President, and (f) President.

## Evaluation of Excellent Teaching

Excellence in teaching includes the ability to impart the knowledge, methods, and standards of the discipline, the ability to communicate effectively with students by counseling, advising, or motivating them, the ability to direct students in their own research, and the ability to evaluate student work accurately and fairly according to prevailing academic standards of the discipline. This definition applies equally to teaching-focused and tenuretrack faculty. Professional-track faculty may have higher teaching loads and fewer service commitments but are held to the same standard of "excellence in teaching" as tenure/tenure-track faculty.

Teaching includes classroom and laboratory instruction, including in-person and online modalities; development of educational materials including books and websites; supervision of field work; presentation of public lectures and outreach; contribution to mentoring of graduate students, including serving on theses and dissertation committees; and other teaching-related activities relevant to a specific field or discipline. Excellence in teaching may be documented by peer reviews, student awards, student evaluations, student successes, faculty teaching awards, recognition of teaching excellence, sample course materials, graded student work, recordings of teaching sessions, evidence of course development and improvements, professional development, teaching publications, and any other documentary materials that tend to demonstrate teaching effectiveness on the university campus or at the national or international level. Each discipline and even areas of expertise within disciplines will differ in their concepts of what constitutes excellence and satisfactory performance in teaching. A department's promotion and tenure document should explain these concepts to the college and university community.

## Evaluation of Satisfactory or Excellent Service

Service includes activities that enhance the scholarly life of the university or discipline, improve the quality of life or society, and promote the general welfare of the institution, community, state, or nation. Thus, service includes participation on departmental, college, or university committees; participation within community, regional, national, or international scholarly communities, boards, or review panels; participation on public boards of the scholarly community; participation in activities that disseminate academic knowledge to the public; or participation in other service-related activities relevant to a specific field or discipline.

Significant contributions to service within the unit will constitute satisfactory involvement in service for clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice; contributions should be commensurate with departmental expectations. Excellence in service for clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice requires consistent and high-quality contributions to service within the unit, university, and/or discipline. Excellent contributions to service may include participating on relevant committees or disciplinary organizations, and or
mentoring of teaching assistants, lecturers, or other members of the Department at a high level. Excellence in service may also be demonstrated by successful performance in leadership roles, that may include (but are not limited to) chairing a committee, overseeing new program development, and/or serving in significant administrative roles.

Specific guidelines for evaluating significant and excellent service involvement are both department and rank specific. A department's promotion and tenure document should explain what constitutes excellent and satisfactory service within their discipline. Furthermore, a department's promotion and tenure document and a clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice's offer letter should clearly outline service expectations required for promotion. In general, what constitutes satisfactory or excellent service for a clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice is likely to differ from evaluations of service for other roles on campus.

It should be noted that clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice can also be classified as graduate faculty; therefore, they may be expected, for the purposes of promotion, to serve on theses and dissertation committees or actively contribute to teaching and service activities concerning graduate students or graduate programs. Such expectations should be clearly outlined in a department's promotion and tenure document as expectations for clinical faculty, teaching faculty, and faculty of practice related to involvement in graduate education will vary widely by department and discipline.

## POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELEVANT TO BOTH TENURE-TRACK AND PROFESSIONAL TRACK FACULTY

## The College Promotion and Tenure Committee

The College of Arts \& Sciences Promotion and Tenure committee will provide to all faculty members in the college a set of guidelines for the submission of application. The guidelines cannot require an applicant to submit any material except that specified elsewhere in the university, or college, or departmental promotion and tenure documents. The purpose of the guidelines is to assist applicants in creating applications. The guidelines should suggest format, organization, what information to include in a packet, what information may be routinely reviewed only at the departmental level, and any other information that the committee believes may assist applicants.

The responsibilities of the College Promotion and Tenure committee will be the following:

- To write the college's promotion and tenure policies and procedures which must be consistent with university promotion and tenure policies, include the mechanism for their adoption and revision, describe the procedures that will be followed if sufficient numbers of members are not available because of absences, recusal or insufficient rank, and identify the participation of the different categories of faculty in the college promotion and tenure process;
- To approve the promotion and tenure documents of department committees within the college and to ensure that such documents are consistent with the mission of the university and the college, and the university promotion and tenure document;
- To assist departments in developing procedures for a third-year review of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty;
- To assist departments in developing criteria for external peer reviews, including the identification of peer departments or schools at other colleges or universities;
- To assist departments in developing definitions of excellence, satisfactory, and unsatisfactory as it pertains to the evaluation of candidates for promotion and tenure;
- To assist departments in developing definitions of teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service consistent with the mission of the department or school;
- To conduct a vote on all dossiers for promotion and tenure, ensuring department standards are fairly applied and university standards are maintained; and
- To approve the department promotion and tenure document and policies and all subsequent changes.

Members of the College Promotion and Tenure committee will be elected by the faculty of the college.

Fifteen members will be elected at the department/division level. All tenure track faculty members of each Starkville campus department and from the Meridian division may vote for one representative from their tenure-track faculty. All full-time, tenured faculty members who are not deans, associate deans, assistant deans, department heads, or equivalent are eligible to serve on the committee. These members will begin their terms on September 1 of the calendar year and their term will continue through the spring and summer period.

In addition to the tenure-track representation, the professional-track faculty from the college at-large will elect six additional members from the professional-track faculty of at least Rank 2 . The representation of these professional-track faculty will be:

- One representative from the Humanities (to include Classical \& Modern Languages and Literature, Communications, English, History, Philosophy \& Religion)
- One representative from the Social Sciences/Meridian Campus (to include Anthropology \& Middle Eastern Cultures, Political Science \& Public Administration, Psychology, Sociology)
- One representative from the Natural \& Physical Sciences and Mathematics \& Statistics (to include Biological Sciences, Chemistry, Geosciences, Mathematics \& Statistics, Physics \& Astronomy)
- Three representatives at-large from any discipline
- No more than one professional-track representative may be elected from each department
- At least one representative from the Professor of the Practice, Teaching Professor, or Clinical Professor tracks, when sufficient persons in these categories are available to serve.

Elections for the at-large professional track position will be conducted by the Dean's Office in September of each year. These members will begin their terms on October 1 of the calendar year and their term will continue through the spring and summer period.

Once the fully established committee meets, it will stagger the three-year terms so that one-third of the members are elected to the committee on a yearly basis for the tenure-track representatives. The committee will annually elect its chair, and the membership of the committee will be made known to the faculty. The chair of the committee will be selected from the tenure representatives on the committee.

For the professional-track, the at-large, elected representatives will initially serve a two-year term, with successive terms being three-years. The discipline specific representatives will serve three-year terms.

## Review of Departmental Promotion and Tenure Documents

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college Promotion and Tenure committee will approve the original promotion and tenure document and policies of a department and all subsequent changes. It is the responsibility of the college Promotion and Tenure committee to ensure that department promotion and tenure documents are consistent with the mission of the university and college, and both the IHL board and the university's promotion and tenure document.

All departmental promotion and tenure documents and all changes in the documents will be sent to the Dean of the College of Arts \& Sciences for approval after the documents have been approved by the college promotion and tenure committee. If a document is not approved, the dean will report in writing to the committee reasons why a document was not approved and will assist the committee in assisting
the department in revising its document.
The college committee will ensure that such documents are consistent with the mission of the university and the college. No departmental document will go into effect until the college committee and the dean have approved the document.

Members of the Promotion and Tenure committee will be provided a copy of a departmental document at least 10 working days in advance of a meeting of the committee to discuss the document.

The college Promotion and Tenure committee has the authority to reject a department's promotion and tenure document. The college committee will provide a written explanation for its vote and make suggestions to assist the department in gaining approval. Once a departmental document has been approved, neither the college committee nor the dean may change a department's promotion and tenure document without following these procedures.

All departments must review their documents every five years. The Dean of the College will establish a rotation schedule for departmental documents to be reviewed. Each department's promotion and tenure committee will provide a written report of the review to the dean and the college promotion and tenure committee.

Departmental committees may submit revisions of their promotion and tenure documents to the college Promotion and Tenure committee at any time. The college Promotion and Tenure committee or the dean may request a review of a departmental document and may suggest changes.

## Establishing Standards for Promotion and Tenure in the College of Arts \& Sciences

A person of professorial rank in the College of Arts \& Sciences is a member of a community of scholars serving the university's mission as a land grant institution and as the primary research university in Mississippi. A professor's record should reflect the interaction of teaching, service, and research as defined by the discipline of the professor and by tenured peers. The standards for promotion and tenure within the College of Arts \& Sciences will be predicated on the premise of helping faculty members achieve the national reputations of their peers in the Mississippi State University community of scholars.

Each discipline and even areas of expertise within disciplines will differ in their concepts of what constitutes excellence and satisfactory performance in teaching, research, and service. A department's promotion and tenure document should explain these concepts to the college and university community. The document should articulate to new faculty members the standards of the discipline and the department in relationship to the standards of Mississippi State University and the College of Arts \& Sciences. The promotion and tenure documents should describe the performance of a person who has earned membership in the community of scholars. As such, departmental documents will not impose definitions on those evaluating the applicant's performance. For example, departmental documents may wish to list journals that are nationally recognized as top-tier journals in their discipline, and therefore provide evidence that a candidate is developing or has developed a national reputation. However, they should refrain from imposing a single standard on all candidates by equating a specific number of publications in certain journals with a national reputation. Consideration should be based upon adequate deliberation over the evidence in light of relevant standards and exclusive of improper standards (i.e., any criterion not related to the professional performance of the faculty member). The evaluation of a tenure case should constitute a bona fide exercise of professional academic judgement.

Each applicant has the responsibility to explain to peers and administrators how the standards of the discipline and the department have been achieved.

The process of annual review and reviews by promotion and tenure committees should compare the faculty
member's performance with the standards established in the department's promotion and tenure document.

## Defining Teaching, Research, and Service

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college Promotion and Tenure committee will assist departments in developing definitions of research, teaching, and service consistent with the mission of the university, the College of Arts \& Sciences and the department.

The college Promotion and Tenure committee has the authority to reject a department's definitions of teaching, research, and service. The college committee will provide a written explanation for its vote and make suggestions to assist the department in gaining approval. The college committee is responsible for maintaining the standards explained in the previous section of this document.

Because of vast differences among departments in the College of Arts \& Sciences, no single set of definitions for teaching, research, and service would be applicable across the college. The college Promotion and Tenure committee will be guided by departmental promotion and tenure documents on issues of teaching, research, and service.
Departmental committees seeking assistance will make a written request to the college committee.

## Applications for Promotion and Tenure

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college Promotion and Tenure committee will conduct a vote on all applications for promotion and tenure.

Guided by the appropriate departmental promotion and tenure document, committee members are expected to evaluate all applications based on their professional evaluation of the candidate's research, service, and teaching. Committee members will not apply the standards of their own departments or disciplines. The committee may not apply standards beyond those stated in the university promotion and tenure document, the college promotion and tenure document, and the departmental promotion and tenure document.

The committee will discuss each candidate's strengths and weaknesses on research, service, and teaching. A detailed report approved by a majority of the committee on the committee's discussion covering all three areas will be sent to the dean and made available to the candidate at the conclusion of the tenure/promotion process. The report to the dean may include a summary of the committee's discussion of the applicant's qualifications.

As provided for in the university promotion and tenure document, the college Promotion and Tenure committee will conduct a vote on all applications for promotion and/or tenure in the college. In a secret ballot, at least three fourths of the elected tenure-track departmental representatives of the committee will conduct a summary vote on all applications. The record of the vote will be reported to the dean and become part of the candidate's permanent record. If an applicant is applying for promotion and tenure, in secret the committee will conduct a single vote on the application.

## Departmental Promotion and Tenure Committees

Every department and school will establish and maintain a promotion and tenure committee. The department committee may include any faculty track. The promotion and tenure procedures must specify the inclusiveness of the committee composition and clearly establish the eligibility for voting and participation within the department promotion and tenure process. In departments where there may be professional-track faculty of rank serving on department committees along with tenured faculty, it is
permissible for all faculty members on the committee of appropriate rank to vote on promotion to Rank 2 or to Rank 3. Only tenured faculty members on the committee can vote on the tenure decision. When a candidate is being considered for promotion to associate professor or to professor and for tenure at the same time, any non-agreement of the promotion vote and the tenure vote will be resolved by vote of only the tenured faculty members on the committee.

The faculty of each department will determine the structure of its own promotion and tenure committee, subject to the conditions that:
A. A minimum of three tenured faculty must be available to vote on tenure decisions. If three tenured faculty are not available within the department, the department's promotion and tenure policies will describe the procedures that will be followed to identify and select the required number of tenured faculty members, preferably from within the College, to bring the total number to three;
B. Committee members must hold a rank (i.e., 2, 3) at or above the candidate's aspirant rank to vote on each case. For example, a Rank 2 faculty member cannot vote on a candidate's promotion to Rank 3.
C. Only tenured faculty may vote on a tenure recommendation.
D. Unless a unit uses a committee of the whole, the members of the committee must be elected. The length of terms will be determined by the unit.
E. No member of the committee will consider the application of a relative. Appearance of conflicts of interest should be avoided.
F. No faculty member functioning as an administrator, department head, or director of an academic unit will be a member of the committee.
G. A faculty member serving on the college promotion and tenure committee may observe but neither participate in nor vote on a candidate's promotion or tenure review at the department level.
H. The committee will annually elect its chair from the tenured members of the committee.
I. The membership of the committee will be made known to the faculty.
J. An individual will not serve in a year that his or her promotion application is being considered.

Among the responsibilities of the promotion and tenure committee are the following:
A. To establish procedures for a third-year review of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty.
B. To specify criteria for external peer reviews, including the identification of peer departments or schools at other colleges or universities.
C. To facilitate all votes related to the promotion and tenure process, including the vote to approve the original promotion and tenure document and policies and all subsequent changes.
D. To conduct a review by the end of the third year of all non-tenured, tenure-track faculty.
E. To conduct a vote on all dossiers for promotion and tenure.

College, school or department promotion and tenure committees will consider, but are not bound by, the department head's annual review of a candidate's progress toward tenure or promotion. Prior to the offer of hire, the appropriate promotion and tenure committee will make a formal recommendation about

- The initial appointment of a faculty member or administrator at the level of Rank 2 or Rank 3;
- The acceptance of experience as the equivalent of a terminal degree; and
- The acceptance of years of credit at another institution of higher education toward fulfillment of the minimum probationary period for tenure.

Every department and school will write a promotion and tenure document, which is approved by a majority vote of the full-time faculty. In comprehensive departments with both tenured/tenure-track and professional-track faculty, the promotion section of the document will be approved by a majority vote of the full-time faculty, while the tenure section of the document will be approved by a majority vote of the tenured and tenure-track faculty. The department document must:

- Contain the criteria and procedures for promotion and tenure;
- Define teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service, consistent with the mission of the department or school, including criteria for developing a national reputation and an established national reputation;
- Specify criteria for excellence, satisfactory performance, and unsatisfactory performance as it pertains to the evaluation of candidates for promotion and/or tenure;
- Determine the structure of the department promotion and tenure committee;
- Specify the criteria for eligibility of full-time faculty to serve on the department promotion and tenure committee; and
- Describe any specifics, including any uniqueness, of the department or school in which the individual is to be tenured.


## Procedures for Faculty Promotion and Tenure

The decision to award tenure is made by the university president. All judgments made at lower levels of the university are recommendations to the president.

A faculty member eligible for consideration for promotion or tenure must notify their department head by April 1 of the year the application will be submitted and must provide the department head with all pertinent and available information by October 1 of that year. The department head has the responsibility to assist, where appropriate, the faculty member in preparing materials for tenure and promotion review. In addition, the initial list of external reviewers must be compiled no later than May 15.

## Notification of Application for Promotion and/or Tenure

A candidate for tenure and/or promotion must notify the department head of their intent to submit their application for review on or prior to a date that must be specified in the department promotion and tenure document. Department heads must inform tenure-track assistant professors of this date during the annual faculty review for the fifth year of their employment contract.

The date by which candidates must notify their department head of their intent can vary between departments but it must provide sufficient time to notify external reviewers and receive their letters of evaluation prior to October 1st or any official stage of application review. The solicitation process for external evaluators will be initiated when the candidate notifies the appropriate department head or unit director of their intent to be considered for tenure and/or promotion.

External Letters

External letters will be solicited from professionals in the field who can provide an impartial evaluation of the candidate's work and accomplishments.

In the case of professional tracks, external reviewers should be faculty at peer to peer- plus institutions, or peer to peer-plus departments. In the case of instructor tracks, external reviewers must be external to the department but may be internal or external to the university. An appropriate choice for an external reviewer would be a faculty member in a department that is considered comparable to the candidate's department. These external reviewers may include, but are not limited to, representatives from the Center for Teaching and Learning, Grisham Master Teachers, or teaching or research faculty already at the rank of associate professors or Instructor II or above from other departments. They should not include individuals who have a professional or personal conflict of interest with the candidate. Although not prohibited, Instructors are not required to have external reviewers from outside the university. External faculty reviewers should not include individuals who have a professional or personal conflict of interest with the candidate. Conflicts of interest in general would include but not necessarily be restricted to previous mentors, previous graduate students, collaborating co-authors, collaborating coinvestigators, or relatives/past-relatives. In disciplines or fields where the general definition of a conflict of interest commonly does not apply, external reviewers normally excluded from the process can be utilized if complete and adequate justification is provided. Definition of what constitutes a conflict of interest may be further defined in the department promotion and tenure document and be in accord with the Policy and Procedures Document for Conflict of Interest and Ethics (Department of Human Resources and Management: Employee Relations Section Mississippi Code of 1972 Sections 25-4-101 through 25-4-105).

In the case of tenure track faculty, the external reviewers will generally be tenured professors at institutions of at least equal prestige to MSU. Obvious conflicts of interest should be avoided when selecting external reviewers. Conflicts-of-interest in general would include but not necessarily be restricted to previous mentors, previous graduate students, collaborating co-authors, collaborating coinvestigators, or relatives/past-relatives. In disciplines or fields where the general conflict of interest definition commonly does not apply, external reviewers normally excluded from the process can be utilized if complete and adequate justification is provided. A collaborator may be an external reviewer, but not if a conflict of interest exists. All promotion and/or tenure applications must include an explanation of the credentials of the reviewers.

The candidate, the department promotion and tenure committee, and department head will each provide a list of names that will be used to create a master list of potential external reviewers. The department head and department promotion and tenure committee chair will jointly select the final list of external reviewers from whom letters of evaluation will be requested and should include faculty names provided by all three sources. Both the department head and department promotion and tenure committee chair are responsible for eliminating, to the best of their knowledge, all external reviewers that have a conflict of interest. At least one external reviewer must be selected from the candidate's own list of eligible reviewers.

Dossiers must contain an explanation of the credentials and qualifications of each external reviewer regarding their training/background in addition to the extent of their contact, interaction or relationship with the candidate. External letters of evaluation must be received from a minimum of four external reviewers for inclusion in the dossier of the candidate. It is the responsibility of the chair of the department committee or the department head to obtain at least the minimum number of letters of evaluation from external reviewers who have agreed to function in this capacity. Should extraordinary circumstances exist which render it impractical for the minimum number of letters to be included, the dossier may proceed with the number of letters than can be obtained. All letters received from external reviewers must be included in the dossier of the candidate unless the department head and department
promotion and tenure committee collectively decide to withdraw a letter from the review process if it contains information that refers to or describes a conflict of interest. In instances when substantial modifications of the application have occurred (e.g. official notifications of accepted publications or awarded grants) after documentation has been forwarded to the external reviewers, these achievements can be communicated in a letter written by the candidate and forwarded to the department head. The letter should be included in the section of the dossier containing the external letters of review.

The identity of the external reviewers will not be revealed to the candidate and communications must not include any information that might indicate the identity or location of any external reviewer. Exceptions may include situations as may be required by law or ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction. The specific procedures regarding solicitation and use of external letters of evaluation are to be detailed in school and department policies. Each department will include in its promotion and tenure document an exact explanation of the procedure for external peer review.

## Candidate Application

The candidate makes a formal application for promotion and/or tenure by completing the Mississippi State University Application for Promotion and Tenure form and attaching supporting documentation. Each unit will specify the format and the level of detail for the supporting documentation. No additional support material may be added or removed from this file after a decision has been made at the department level, unless the candidate, department head and the department committee mutually agree. The request will be made in writing, define what is being added or removed, state the purpose for the change in the application, be signed by all parties, and be included as part of the formal application. Letters of recommendation will be added to the dossier at each level of review. If the candidate submits letters of factual correction for any level of review, those letters and any review-level response (described below) will also be included in the dossier. A complete dossier for promotion and tenure for the official review process will include the application submitted by the candidate and at least the minimum of four external letters of review. Only complete dossiers for promotion and tenure that contain the application for the candidate and the minimum number of external letters will be evaluated at the level of the department promotion and tenure committee, department head, college committee, college dean, and university provost. Except for the candidate's optional letters of factual correction (described below), the candidate takes no part in the process after submission of the application, unless requested to do so by those considering the dossier. No discussion of correspondence relating to the dossier is to be initiated by the candidate with the reviewing authorities. Deliberation at all levels will be confidential.

## Dossier Review

The department promotion and tenure committee will meet to review the information in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier. In all cases, tenured and professional track faculty may both sit on the departmental committee. For faculty applicants in the tenure-track ranks, three non-binding preliminary polls will be taken using secret ballot about the candidate's teaching, service and research records considered individually. These poll results will be recorded and reported in the committee report. Professional track committee members will participate in the preliminary polls about teaching and service. Only tenured faculty will participate in the research poll. At the conclusion of the discussion, tenured faculty of appropriate rank will make a recommendation on the question of promotion or promotion and tenure by a single vote evaluating the three areas (teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service) as a whole.

For faculty applicants in the professional track ranks, two non-binding preliminary polls will be taken using secret ballot about the candidate's teaching and service records considered individually. These poll results
will be recorded and reported in the committee report. All faculty of appropriate rank will participate in the preliminary polls about teaching and service. At the conclusion of the discussion, all faculty members of appropriate rank will make a recommendation on the question of promotion by a single vote evaluating the two areas (teaching and service) as a whole.

The committee's recommendation will be based on a simple majority vote, conducted by secret ballot. The committee chair will submit a letter of recommendation and rationale to be included in the candidate's dossier. The candidate will receive a copy of the committee's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale will characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the committee's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the committee will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process. The chair will notify the department head of the committee's recommendation.

The department head or director will review the dossier and make a recommendation based on pertinent evidence documented in the faculty member's promotion and tenure dossier and information in the personnel file that is applicable to the candidate's performance in professional activities. The department head must provide written justification that the criteria of teaching, research, and service have been met. Written justification must also be provided if it is believed the faculty member does not meet any of the four remaining criteria. The candidate will receive a copy of the department head's or director's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of the external reviewers. The rationale will characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the department head's or director's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the department head or director will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The candidate may respond to the department promotion and tenure committee's and/or the department head's or director's letters to correct any factual errors represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of each letter. The candidate's letter(s) of factual corrections must be sent to the review level to which the response was made. That level may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the application within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The college promotion and tenure committee will review the information in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier. In all cases, tenured and professional track faculty may both sit on the college committee. For faculty applicants in the tenure-track ranks, three non-binding preliminary polls will be taken using secret ballot about the candidate's teaching, service and research records considered individually. These poll results will be recorded and reported in the committee report. Professional track committee members will participate in the preliminary polls about teaching and service. Only tenured faculty will participate in the research poll. At the conclusion of the discussion, tenured faculty of appropriate rank will make a recommendation on the question of promotion or promotion and tenure by a single vote evaluating the three areas (teaching, research and/or creative achievement, and service) as a whole.

For faculty applicants in the professional track ranks, two non-binding preliminary polls will be taken using secret ballot about the candidate's teaching and service records considered individually. These poll results will be recorded and reported in the committee report. All faculty of appropriate rank will participate in the preliminary polls about teaching and service. At the conclusion of the discussion, all faculty members of appropriate rank will make a recommendation on the question of promotion by a single vote evaluating the two areas (teaching and service) as a whole.

The committee's recommendation will be based on a simple majority vote, conducted by secret ballot. The committee chair will submit a letter of recommendation and rationale to be included in the candidate's dossier. The candidate will receive a copy of the college promotion and tenure committee's
letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale will characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the committee's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the committee will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process. The candidate may respond to the college promotion and tenure committee's letter to correct any factual errors represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of the letter. The committee may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the dossier within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The dean will review the dossier and make a recommendation based on pertinent evidence documented in the faculty member's promotion and tenure dossier and information in the personnel file that is applicable to the candidate's performance in professional activities. The dean must provide written justification that the criteria of teaching, research, and service have been met. Written justification must also be provided if it is believed the faculty member does not meet any of the four remaining criteria. The candidate will receive a copy of the dean's letter of recommendation and rationale that is redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The rationale will characterize external reviewers' comments that informed the dean's decision. The letter of recommendation and rationale of the dean will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The candidate may respond to the dean's letter to correct any factual errors represented therein within 5 working days of the candidate's receipt of the letter. The dean may address the concerns in a new letter to be included in the dossier within 5 working days of receipt of the candidate's letter of factual correction. All letters will be included in the dossier as it proceeds through the review process.

The faculty member has the right to discontinue the review process for tenure or promotion at any point before a decision has been made. Their request must be made in writing to the department head or director before a final decision has been rendered.

Department and college committees on promotion and tenure will assist their department head or director and dean, respectively, in reviewing the eligibility of all faculty members who have met the minimum requirements for advancement in rank or tenure.

On rare occasions and in exceptional circumstances when a variation of the process described in this document needs to be initiated in order to be fair to the faculty member while still ensuring a rigorous review of the candidate's dossier, the University Promotion and Tenure Committee will review and approve any such appropriate requests during the review process. These approved variations of the process described by this paragraph cannot be the sole basis for an appeal.

## Chronology

The receipt dates listed below for the department and college represent suggested guidelines intended to facilitate an organized and efficient review of candidates' dossiers during each official phase of the evaluation process. Minor chronological delays that may occur beyond these dates do not represent a significant procedural error. Departments may specify deadlines that are earlier, but not later, than those cited below.

On a date specified in the department promotion and tenure guidelines but no later than April 1, the candidate for tenure and/or promotion will notify the department head and the chair of the department promotion and tenure committee of their intent to submit their application for tenure and/or promotion. The department head has the responsibility to assist, where appropriate, the faculty member in preparing the application for tenure and promotion review.

By October 1 (or first working day thereafter), a faculty member eligible for consideration for promotion
and/or tenure must have provided the department head with all pertinent and available information to apply for consideration.

By November 15 (or first working day thereafter), each faculty member's complete dossier will be provided to the college promotion and tenure committee. This will include letters of recommendation and rationale from both the department promotion and tenure committee and the department head. Each of these letters of recommendation and rationale will be copied to the candidate. The letters will be redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. These letters must include a summary of the procedures followed by the academic unit in evaluating the candidate and the committee's and head's independent evaluation of the candidate's teaching effectiveness, research and/or creative achievement, and service to the profession and university. The chair of the college promotion and tenure committee is responsible for inserting letters of recommendation and rationale from the department head and the department promotion and tenure committee, along with any letters related to correction of factual errors at the department level, into the dossier of each candidate reviewed by the college promotion and tenure committee.

By December 15 (or first working day thereafter), the college promotion and tenure committee's letter of recommendation and rationale for each candidate will be sent to the college dean. Letters of recommendation and rationale will be copied to the candidate. The letters will be redacted only insofar as necessary to conceal the identity of external reviewers. The letter concerning each candidate must include the committee's summary of the procedures followed by the college committee in evaluating the candidate and the committee's evaluation of the candidate in regard to the seven criteria required by the IHL Board. The college promotion and tenure committee chair is responsible to provide the dean with each candidate's dossier including letters from previous stages of review. For each candidate, the dean is responsible for collection and inclusion of any letters related to correction of factual errors at the college level.

By January 15 (or first working day thereafter), the dean's letter of recommendation and rationale for each candidate will be sent to the provost and copied to the candidate. The letter concerning each candidate must include the dean's evaluation of the candidate with regards to the seven criteria required by the IHL Board. The dean is responsible to provide the provost with each candidate's dossier including letters from previous stages of review. Copies of publications, works of art, etc., will be included only if specifically requested by the provost.

By March 10 (or first working day thereafter), the provost will have reviewed each candidate's dossier and will make a recommendation to the university president. The provost must provide written justification that the criteria of teaching, research, and service have been met. Written justification must also be provided if it is believed the faculty member does not meet any of the four remaining criteria. Copies of the provost's recommendation will be sent to the candidate with copies to the dean, department head, and chairs of college and department promotion and tenure committees.

The university president will review the recommendation of the provost and will decide to accept or reject that recommendation. To grant tenure to a faculty member, the President must sign a written certification that the faculty member has satisfactorily met all seven of the IHL required criteria.

The university president will transmit that decision, together with reasons for a negative decision, to the faculty member directly, with copies to the dean, department head, and chairs of college and department promotion and tenure committees.

The decision to award tenure is made by the university president. All judgments made at lower levels of the university are recommendations to the university president.

## Appeals

Faculty members who have been denied promotion or tenure may, within ten working days of the date on the university president's decision letter, request an appeal hearing before the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure. The request must be made through the provost who will forward the request to the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure. Grounds for requesting an appeal are:

- That the decision was prejudiced, arbitrary, or capricious; or
- That the procedures contained in the promotion and tenure policies of the IHL, Mississippi State University, or those in the candidate's college or unit promotion and tenure policies were not properly followed.

The University Committee on Promotion and Tenure, upon request of the provost, will review the entire case. The appeal will be heard by at least five members. Members should recuse themselves from appeals by candidates who are relatives or with whom they have some conflict of interest, if the committee member has served in the previous levels of evaluation of the appellant or if for any reason the committee member feels he/she cannot be objective. A committee member will not vote on an appeal unless he/she has heard all hearings pertaining to the case. If five members are not available because of absence or recusal, the chair may, with the concurrence of the committee, appoint substitutes from among the professors of the general faculty. In special circumstances potentially prejudicial to the appellant, the chair may, with the concurrence of the committee, appoint an ad-hoc committee to assist in the resolution of the appeal. This ad-hoc committee reports its findings back to the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure.

The committee will review all available pertinent information and will conduct interviews with appropriate persons, i.e., appellant, unit head, unit committee chair, dean, college committee chair and provost. The committee will render its recommendation, in writing, to the provost. The committee will also provide a copy of this written recommendation to the candidate.

The provost will transmit the committee's written recommendation along with their own recommendation to the university president, who will make the final decision. This decision will end the university appeals process. A copy of each recommendation will be provided to the candidate.

The Board of Trustees of the Institutions of Higher Learning may grant a further appeal as outlined in Board of Trustees Policy 403.0105.

Candidates who are denied tenure and who have no time remaining in their probationary periods will receive terminal contracts for the following year.

## Notice of Non-reappointment of Non-tenured, Tenure-track Faculty

Professional-Track Faculty
Members will be notified in writing of the university's intention not to renew their contracts as provided in IHL Board Policy 404.02:

- Notice of intention not to renew non-tenure track personnel will be furnished in writing thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of the contract. Notice of non-Renewal of employees with written contracts, other than those covered in IHL Board Policy 403.0102, is 30 days.
- Lack of Funds-Notice of termination of non-tenure track personnel prior to expiration of the contract due to a lack of funds will be furnished in writing thirty (30) days prior to termination

Members will be notified in writing of the university's intention not to renew their contracts as provided in IHL Board Policy 403.0102:

- Not later than March 1 before the date of contract termination during the first year of service;
- Not later than December 1 before the date of contract termination during the second year of service; or
- Not later than September 1 before the date of contract termination after two or more years of service.

This schedule of notification does not apply to persons holding temporary, part-time, or adjunct positions.

## Dismissal of Tenured Faculty

Termination of service of a tenured faculty member is made only under these extraordinary circumstances (as outlined in IHL Board Policy 403.0104):

- Financial exigencies as declared by the Board;
- Termination or reduction of programs, academic or administrative units as approved by the Board;
- Malfeasance, inefficiency or contumacious conduct; or
- For legitimate and justifiable cause.

Termination for cause of a tenured faculty member or the dismissal for cause of a faculty member prior to the expiration of a term appointment will not be recommended by the institutional executive officer until the faculty member has been afforded the opportunity for a hearing. In no event will the contract of a tenured faculty member be terminated for cause without the faculty member being afforded the opportunity for a hearing.

In all cases, the faculty member will be informed in writing of the proposed action against them and that they have the opportunity to be heard in their own defense. Within ten (10) working days from the date of the university president's decision, the faculty member will state in writing their desire to have a hearing. They will be permitted to have with them an adviser of their own choosing who may be an attorney. The institution is directed to record (suitable for transcription) all hearings. In the hearing of charges of incompetence, the testimony will include that of faculty and other scholars.

Tenured faculty members, who are dismissed for financial exigencies or termination or reduction of program, academic or administrative units will remain employed for a minimum of 9 to 12 months, consistent with current contract periods of time, from date of notification. Tenured faculty members, who are dismissed for malfeasance, inefficiency, contumacious conduct or for a legitimate and justifiable cause will have their contracts terminated at any time subsequent to notice including the right to have a hearing with no right to continued employment for any period of time. At the discretion of the Institutional Executive Officer, any faculty member's salary may be paid, and they may be relieved of all teaching duties, assignments, appointments and privileges when they are dismissed for any reasons stated above or pending a termination hearing.

